

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/288,569	04/09/1999	HIROYUKI OHTAKI	DAIN:499	7620	
75	90 10/18/2002				
PARKHURST & WENDEL LLP 1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210			EXAMINER		
			ANGEBRANNI	OT, MARTIN J	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 223142805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1756	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(W) - 1	7
---------	---

		-	4 •
$\Lambda \alpha$	/ICA	n, A	ヘロハハ
AU	713U	1 V M	ction

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/288,569	OHTAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Martin J Angebranndt	1756	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exam	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	The response of the rest of th
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [2 b) [The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection.
fee hav fee und (2) as s	ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFF be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply compared for the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory pe	int of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the per 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(с	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mater issues for appeal; and/or	ially reducing or simplifying the
(d	I) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The issue of heat selaing is newly raised.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	parate, timely filed amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	s issues which were newly
7.🖾	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: none.	
	Claim(s) objected to: none.	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13 and 15-29</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved or b)	oved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•
10.	Other:	hufter
		Martin J Angebranndt Priplary Examiner Art Unit: 1756

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The issue of heat treatment raises a new issue after prosecution has been closed. The examiner notes that heat sealing is generally disclosed in Morii et al. WO 98/12607 at line 20-21 of page 4, which coresponds to column 4/lines 4-6 of Morii et al. '378. The applicant argues that the tackifier is not disclosed as mobile. The examiner notes that the same tackifiers are disclosed as is heating at 100-180 degrees C, which is similar top the baking disclosed at line 19 on page 20 of the specification. The examiner holds that under these conditions the ability to migrate according the Fick's Law is inherent. The discussion of these as components of the adhseive layer is not considered incidental. The language proposed does not exclude the heat treatment of Morii et al.

10/17/or